Tribunal File No.

# **Public Servants Disclosure Protection Tribunal**

# Application by the Public Sector Integrity Commissioner of Canada

In the Matter of:

Stan Korosec

Complainant

-and-

## Blue Water Bridge Canada

Respondent

# **Notice of Application**

Pursuant to paragraph 20.4(1)(*a*) of the *Public Servants Disclosure Protection Act*, S.C., 2005, c. 46 (PSDPA) and in accordance with Rule 5 of the *Public Servants Disclosure Protection Tribunal Rules of Procedure*, SOR / 2011-170, I am hereby making an application to the Public Servants Disclosure Protection Tribunal (the "Tribunal") for a determination of whether or not a reprisal, as defined under subsection 2(1) of the PSDPA, was taken against the Complainant and for a remedy in favour of the Complainant.

# **Basis for the Application**

- 1. This Application relates to Mr. Stan Korosec's termination of employment from Blue Water Bridge Canada (BWBC) on March 19, 2013 and the subsequent decision by BWBC to reduce the Complainant's severance benefits and entitlements.
- 2. Mr. Korosec is a former public servant, as defined under subsection 2(1) of the PSDPA, who was employed at BWBC for approximately 10 years; he held the position of Vice-President, Operations, when his employment was terminated.
- 3. The respondent BWBC is a federal parent Crown corporation as defined in the *Financial Administration Act*, R.S.C., 1985, c. F-11 and as incorporated under the

*Blue Water Bridge Authority Act*, S.C. 1964-65, c. 6. The corporation maintains and operates an international bridge located between Sarnia, Ontario and Port Huron, Michigan.

- 4. On March 20, 2013, Mr. Korosec made a reprisal complaint to the Office of the Public Sector Integrity Commissioner (the "Office" or PSIC) under subsection 19.1 of the PSDPA, alleging that his termination of employment, under the guise of a reorganization at BWBC, was in fact a reprisal measure taken against him because of his participation as a witness in a disclosure investigation conducted by my Office. He also alleged that he was subjected to a series of additional reprisal measures subsequent to his termination, including a reduction of his severance benefits and entitlements, the release of his personal information in a press release and a delay in being paid some of his severance entitlements.
- 5. The Complainant identified four persons as being responsible for the alleged reprisal measures taken against him:
  - Mr. Charles Chrapko, the former President and Chief Executive of BWBC;
  - Mr. Marcel Beaubien, the Chair of the Board of Directors for BWBC;
  - Mr. Larry Kinley, Board Member; and
  - Mr. Gary Atkinson, Board Member.
- 6. My Office investigated Mr. Korosec's reprisal complaint and based on the results of the investigation, I find that that there are reasonable grounds to believe that Mr. Korosec's loss of employment and the subsequent reduction of his severance benefits were reprisal measures taken against him by BWBC because he participated, in good faith, in my Office's disclosure investigation. Accordingly, I have determined that an application to the Tribunal is warranted in regard to this complaint, pursuant to paragraphs 20.4(1)(*a*) and 20.4(3)(*a*) of the PSDPA.
- 7. As the results of the investigation do not suggest that the issuance of a press release by BWBC and BWBC's delay in paying some of the Complainant's severance entitlements were reprisals, these allegations will not be pursued by my Office at the hearing of this complaint before the Tribunal.

# Summary of the Complaint

8. Mr. Korosec had his employment with BWBC terminated on March 19, 2013 on the basis that his position was declared "redundant". The Complainant immediately believed that his employment was being terminated because of his participation in my Office's investigation at BWBC. He filed a reprisal complaint with my Office the very next day, on March 20, 2013.

- 9. The Complainant was a witness in an investigation into a protected disclosure of wrongdoing made to this Office on February 21, 2012 by a BWBC employee. This disclosure concerned inappropriate severance payments made to two former employees of BWBC. This disclosure was investigated and resulted in a finding of wrongdoing against the former President and Chief Executive, Mr. Chrapko. The Complainant was interviewed on September 18, 2012 by the PSIC investigator in the course of that investigation.
- On December 21, 2012, my Office issued a preliminary investigation report in relation to this disclosure to Mr. Chrapko, in accordance with my obligations under subsection 27(3) of the PSDPA. Mr. Chrapko subsequently shared the preliminary investigation report with Board members. My Office also provided its preliminary investigation report to the Clerk of the Privy Council on December 27, 2012 and to the Minister responsible for BWBC on January 10, 2013.
- 11. In late January 2013, Mr. Chrapko announced his resignation from BWBC, effective March 15, 2013.
- 12. My Office completed its investigation into the disclosure on May 6, 2013. As required under the PSDPA, I tabled a case report in Parliament on June 6, 2013 in regard to that matter.
- 13. The Complainant's involvement in the subject-matter of the disclosure began in the Fall of 2011, when he shared his concerns with the former Chief Financial Officer and Human Resources Manager at BWBC, Mr. David Joy, about what he believed to be wrongdoing in the awarding of severance payments to two former employees. Mr. Korosec supported Mr. Joy in reporting these concerns to the former President and subsequently to Transport Canada officials as well as to the Office of the Auditor General of Canada. He also supported the discloser when the latter made a protected disclosure to my Office on February 21, 2012.
- 14. The Complainant reported that in December 2012, Mr. Beaubien, Chair of the Board, came to his office to talk to him about the importance of teamwork, drawing an analogy with hockey. The Complainant took Mr. Beaubien's words as a "warning" arising from the PSIC investigation whereby Mr. Beaubien expected the Complainant to support BWBC management.
- 15. On March 11, 2013, the Complainant was invited to meet with the Board of Directors on March 19, 2013, under the guise of a meeting with all managers to go over their respective areas of responsibility and to discuss proposals or suggestions for the way forward at BWBC. The Complainant worked on preparing a presentation for the meeting.
- 16. When he arrived at the meeting, the Complainant was escorted into a room where he was met by Mr. Beaubien, Mr. Atkinson and a lawyer retained by BWBC. The lawyer informed the Complainant that his position was being eliminated, effective

immediately, due to a reorganization at BWBC. The Complainant described being in shock by this unexpected turn of events as he had never been advised of any possible restructuring at BWBC that could result in the elimination of his position. Convinced that his termination was related to his involvement in the disclosure investigation, the Complainant actually raised at the meeting the issue that he was being fired because of the PSIC investigation.

- 17. At the meeting of March 19, 2013, the Complainant was given a letter dated the same date and signed by Mr. Beaubien, which explained the terms of his termination and severance entitlements and benefits under BWBC's *Human Resources Policy 804* ("HR Policy 804"). The letter specified that he had until March 29, 2013 to accept these terms by signing a Declaration and a Severance Settlement Release form. The letter did not state any consequences should he not accept these terms.
- 18. Following the meeting, the Complainant received legal advice not to sign the Declaration and Severance Settlement Release forms. On April 3, 2013, he received a second letter from Mr. John Elliott, a BWBC employee who had been appointed by the Board as Interim Chief Operations Officer on March 15, 2013, advising him that as he had not accepted the severance terms as offered, he would now receive only the severance entitlements available under the *Canada Labour Code*, which are considerably less. The Complainant claims that the decision to not give him the benefits to which he was entitled under HR Policy 804 also constituted a reprisal measure.
- 19. In the course of the investigation into this reprisal complaint, the employer took the position that the Complainant's employment was terminated for no other reason than a reorganization at BWBC. However, the investigation revealed several inconsistencies between available documentation, actions that occurred and the different witnesses' versions of events.
- 20. Based on the foregoing, there are reasonable grounds to believe that the Complainant's termination of employment and the subsequent reduction of his severance benefits were reprisal measures taken against him because of his participation in the disclosure investigation.

#### **Contact Information for the Parties**

#### Complainant

Mr. Stan Korosec



#### **Respondent / Employer**

Blue Water Bridge Canada 1555 Venetian Blvd Point Edward, ON N7T 0A9

Per: Mr. John Elliott, Chief Operations Officer Tel: (519) 336-2720 ext. 314 Fax: (519) 336-7622 E-Mail: jelliott@bwbc.gc.ca

Public Sector Integrity Commissioner of Canada 60 Queen Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5Y7

Per: Mr. Brian Radford, Senior Counsel Tel: 613-946-2141 Fax: 613-941-6535 E-Mail: Radford.Brian@psic-ispc.gc.ca

# Language of Proceedings

English

## Location

To be determined by the Tribunal in consultation with the parties.

#### **Special Arrangements**

No

Signed at Ottawa, Ontario, this  $\frac{3}{2}$  day of February 2014.

Mario Dion Public Sector Integrity Commissioner